

# Exhibit W

**IAFRATE & ASSOCIATES**

649 N. 2<sup>nd</sup> Avenue  
Phoenix, Arizona 85003  
(602) 234-9775

Michele M. Iafrate, #015115  
Courtney R. Cloman, #023155  
[miafrate@iafratelaw.com](mailto:miafrate@iafratelaw.com)  
[ccloman@iafratelaw.com](mailto:ccloman@iafratelaw.com)

Attorney for Defendant Joseph Arpaio

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA**

Fred Graves, et al.,	)	NO. CV77-0479-PHX-NVW
	)	
Plaintiffs,	)	
	)	<b>STIPULATION RE DEFENDANT</b>
vs.	)	<b>ARPAIO'S JUNE 7, 2011</b>
	)	<b>MOTION TO TERMINATE</b>
Joseph Arpaio, et al.,	)	
	)	
Defendants.	)	

On June 7, 2011, Defendant Arpaio filed his Motion to Terminate. (Doc. #1975) On July 8, 2011, this Court set an evidentiary hearing on the Motion to Terminate to begin on October 18, 2011. (Doc. #1994) After conducting extensive discovery in preparation for the evidentiary hearing, the parties stipulate as follows:

1. Paragraphs 6-8 of the Second Amended Judgment are not at issue in Defendant Arpaio's Motion to Terminate, and thus should not be terminated.
2. Plaintiffs do not contest the termination of paragraphs 1, 3, 9, 10, 12, 14, and 15 of the Second Amended Judgment. No systemic and

ongoing constitutional violations exist regarding those paragraphs and the parties agree that the Court may terminate them.

3. Plaintiffs do not contest the termination of paragraphs 2, 4, 5, and 11 of the Second Amended Judgment, except as follows:

a. Paragraph 2 – Plaintiffs contest the termination of paragraph 2 only with respect to overcrowding in the court holding cells at Madison.

b. Paragraph 4 – Plaintiffs contest the termination of paragraph 4 only with respect to the provision of cleaning supplies to detainees in the Durango dorms (D8 and D9) and the cleanliness of cells in the Mental Health Unit at Lower Buckeye Jail.

c. Paragraph 5 – Plaintiffs contest the termination of paragraph 5 only with respect to the provision of functional and sanitary toilets and sinks in the court holding cells at Madison.

d. Paragraph 11 - Plaintiffs contest the termination of paragraph 11 only with respect to the provision of recreation for one full hour per day, four days per week, in the Durango Dorms (D8 and D9).

4. No systemic and ongoing constitutional violations exist regarding the portions of paragraphs 2, 4, 5, and 11 that are not contested by plaintiffs as listed above. The parties agree that the Court may therefore modify those paragraphs to eliminate the uncontested portions.

- 1       5.     Plaintiffs contest the termination of Paragraphs 13 and 16 of the  
2             Second Amended Judgment.
- 3       6.     Defendant Arpaio moves to withdraw the Court's consideration of the  
4             Motion to Terminate with respect to those paragraphs or portions of  
5             paragraphs of the Second Amended Judgment that Plaintiffs contest as  
6             set forth above.
- 7       7.     Defendant Arpaio will renew his Motion to Terminate with respect to  
8             those paragraphs or portions of paragraphs after April 1, 2012. Based  
9             on the facts known to Plaintiffs, they will not contest the renewed  
10            Motion to Terminate if Defendant Arpaio successfully accomplishes the  
11            goals outlined in Exhibit A for the period from November 1, 2011  
12            through March 1, 2012.
- 13       8.     The parties will agree upon a notice to the class to be posted in the  
14             housing units at the Maricopa County Jails, explaining the terms of this  
15             stipulation.
- 16       9.     Plaintiffs will submit all attorneys' fees and costs incurred through  
17             October 21, 2011 to Defendants by October 31, 2011. Pursuant to the  
18             parties' November 4, 2009 Agreement concerning fees and costs,  
19             Plaintiffs understand that Maricopa County will pay all outstanding fees  
20             and costs which are not disputed within 30 days. If payment is not  
21             received within 30 days, Plaintiffs will file fee applications with the  
22               
23               
24

1 Court. Plaintiffs will submit to Maricopa County additional invoices  
2 quarterly for fees and costs incurred after October 21, 2011.

3 10. The parties request that the Court vacate the evidentiary hearing  
4 scheduled to begin on October 18, 2011 and, if the Court desires,  
5 instead set a hearing to discuss this stipulation.

6 11. The parties make this stipulation in the efficient administration of justice  
7 and to avoid the waste of scarce judicial time.  
8

9 **DATED** this 12th day of October, 2011.

10 **OSBORN MALEDON, P.A.**

**IAFRATE & ASSOCIATES**

11  
12 By s/Sharad H. Desai (w/permission)  
13 Larry A. Hammond  
14 Sharad H. Desai  
Christina C. Rubalcava  
Attorneys for **Plaintiffs**

By: s/Michele M. Iafrate  
Michele M. Iafrate  
Courtney R. Cloman  
Attorneys for **Defendant**  
**Joseph Arpaio**

1 **ORIGINAL** of the foregoing mailed  
2 this 12th day of October, 2011, with:

3 Clerk of the Court  
4 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
5 Sandra Day O'Connor U.S. Courthouse  
401 W. Washington  
Phoenix, Arizona 85003

6 **COPIES** of the foregoing mailed  
7 this 12th day of October, 2011, to:

8 Larry Hammond  
9 Sharad Desai  
Christina C. Rubalcava  
10 **Osborn Maledon, PA**  
2929 N. Central Ave., Ste. 2100  
Phoenix, AZ 85012

11 Margaret Winter (admitted pro hac vice)  
12 Gabriel Eber (admitted pro hac vice)  
Eric Balaban (admitted pro hac vice)  
13 **ACLU National Prison Project**  
915 15th Street, N.W., 7th Floor  
14 Washington, D.C. 20005  
Co-Counsel for *Plaintiffs*

15 Daniel Pochoda  
16 **ACLU of Arizona**  
3707 N. 7<sup>th</sup> Street, Suite #235  
17 Phoenix, AZ 85014  
Co-Counsel for *Plaintiffs*

18 Jorge Franco Jr.  
19 Larry J. Crown  
20 William F. Begley  
2800 N. Central Avenue, Ste. 1800  
21 Phoenix, AZ 85004  
Attorneys for *Defendant Maricopa County Board of Supervisors*

22  
23  
24 By: s/Jill Laforanara

**EXHIBIT A**



**Exhibit A**

¶ 2: Defendant Arpaio agrees to count, and maintain records demonstrating, the number of detainees placed in each of the court holding cells at Madison at the end of the graveyard shift each morning that detainees are transported to court, before any detainees have been removed from those cells to be transported to court. This procedure will cease upon the closure of Madison and the opening of the New Court Tower.

¶ 4: Defendant Arpaio agrees to leave cleaning supplies outside the cage in the Durango dorms (D8 and D9) beginning after the morning meal is served until after the evening meal is served to ensure that detainees have an opportunity to use them. Defendant Arpaio agrees to check and replenish the supplies when necessary. Defendant Arpaio further agrees to inform detainees in the Durango dorms that they may use cleaning supplies for their personal use without needing to first seek permission from detention officers. Defendant Arpaio agrees to use housekeeping to clean all cells in the mental health unit at Lower Buckeye Jail at least once a week. This cleaning will be in addition to both the hygiene checks already being conducted once per shift and the resulting emergency cleaning that is conducted as needed.

¶ 5: Defendant Arpaio agrees to ensure, and keep records demonstrating, that housekeeping cleans and sanitizes the toilets and sinks in each of the court holding cells at Madison each day that detainees are transported to court.

¶ 11: Defendant Arpaio agrees to ensure, and keep records demonstrating, that detainees housed in the Durango dorms (D8 and D9) are offered recreation for one hour a day, four days a week.

¶ 13: Defendant Arpaio agrees to provide detainees an average of 2600 calories per day.

¶ 16: Defendant Arpaio agrees to provide records outlined above on a monthly basis beginning December 15, 2011 in order to allow Plaintiffs to assess compliance with the Second Amended Judgment on an expedited basis.



1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6 **IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
7 **FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA**

8 Fred Graves, et al., ) NO. CV77-0479-PHX-NVW  
9 Plaintiffs, )  
10 vs. ) **ORDER**  
11 Joseph Arpaio, et al., )  
12 Defendants. )

13 This Court having read the Parties Stipulation Re Defendant Arpaio's June 7,  
14 2011 Motion To Terminate and good cause appearing;

15 **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** granting the Stipulation Re Defendant Arpaio's  
16 June 7, 2011 Motion To Terminate.  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24